	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/017,748	SATO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian E. Miller	2652
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>		
(1) <u>Brian E. Miller</u> .	(3)	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 January 2005	Time: <u>11am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1, 16-17		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The Examiner requested an amendment to claim 16, commensurate with that of claim 1. Claim 17 will be canceled and the word "minimum" will be omitted from claim 1. See the attached Examiner's Amendment for the exact changes agreed upon.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
`		
Bull		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)